

Fiscal Note 2011 Biennium

Bill #	HB0299		r	Title:	Tax cred	lit for hearing aid purchase
Primary Sponsor:	Kottel, Deborah		5	Status:	As Intro	duced
☐ Significant	Local Gov Impact	Needs to be includ	led ii	n HB 2	~	Technical Concerns
☐ Included in	the Executive Budget	Significant Long-To	erm	Impacts		Dedicated Revenue Form Attached

FISCAL SUMMARY

FY 2010 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2011 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2012 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2013 <u>Difference</u>
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
(\$1,081,280)	(\$1,081,280)	(\$1,081,280)	(\$1,081,280)
(\$1,081,280)	(\$1,081,280)	(\$1,081,280)	(\$1,081,280)
	<u>Difference</u> \$0 (\$1,081,280)	Difference Difference \$0 \$0 (\$1,081,280) (\$1,081,280)	Difference Difference \$0 \$0 (\$1,081,280) (\$1,081,280) (\$1,081,280)

Description of fiscal impact: This bill would provide a non-refundable income tax credit to cover up to \$500 of the cost of a hearing aid for a taxpayer who is age 55 or older or for a taxpayer's dependent, regardless of age. A credit could be claimed for buying a hearing aid for any individual once every five years.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

Assumptions:

- 1. This bill would provide a non-refundable income tax credit to cover up to \$500 of the cost of a hearing aid. Taxpayers age 55 or older would be eligible to claim the credit for buying a hearing aid for themselves. Any taxpayer would be eligible to claim the credit for buying a hearing aid for a dependent. The credit could be claimed for buying a hearing aid for the same individual no more than once in five years. The credit would first be available for tax year 2009.
- 2. Montana has approximately 249,000 residents age 55 or older and approximately 251,000 residents under age 20 (U.S. Census Bureau).
- 3. Of the national population 55 or older, 33% have some degree of hearing loss and 12% use a hearing aid. Of the population under 20, about 2% have some degree of hearing loss (Centers for Disease Control).
- 4. This fiscal note assumes that the proportions of the population 55 and over and 19 and under that have a hearing loss and use a hearing aid is the same in Montana as nationally. It also assumes that the

- proportion of persons with a hearing loss who have a hearing aid is the same for the population age 19 and under as for the population 55 and older.
- 5. There are 29,880 Montanans age 55 or older with hearing aids $(12\% \times 249,000)$ and 1,825 Montanans age 19 or younger with hearing aids $((12\%/33\%)\times 2\%\times 251,000)$.
- 6. The proposed credit could be claimed for an individual once every five years. Therefore, it is assumed that credits would be claimed for one-fifth of the eligible persons each year. There would be 5,976 credits claimed by taxpayers age 55 or older (29,880 / 5) and 365 credits claimed for dependents (1,825 / 5).
- 7. The average cost of a hearing aid is \$1,800 (Better Hearing Institute). The cost of a hearing aid is deductible as a medical expense under current law. This bill would not allow a taxpayer to take both the deduction and the proposed credit.
- 8. The net effect on a taxpayer of taking the proposed credit instead of an itemized deduction is the difference between the credit the taxpayer could claim and the tax reduction from taking the itemized deduction. Since the credit would be non-refundable, the credit a taxpayer could claim is the smaller of the taxpayer's tax liability and \$500. The tax reduction from taking the itemized deduction is the smaller of the taxpayer's tax liability and the product of the taxpayer's marginal tax rate and the amount of the deduction.
- 9. Taxpayers can be divided into three groups based on how their tax liability would be affected by taking the proposed credit instead of an itemized deduction. Taxpayers with tax liability of \$100 or less generally would have their tax reduced to \$0 by taking either the credit or the deduction. Taxpayers with tax liability between \$101 and \$500 would have their tax reduced to \$0 by the credit and would have their tax reduced, but not to \$0, by the deduction. Taxpayers with tax liability more than \$500 would have their tax reduced by \$500 by the credit and by a smaller amount by the deduction.
- 10. Income tax returns for 2007 were examined for two groups of resident taxpayers: those who appear to be retired because they claimed an extra exemption and reported some type of retirement income and those who claimed at least one dependent. The following table shows the percent of each group in each of the three tax liability groups, the average tax liability of each group, and the tax rate bracket for the average taxpayer in each group:

	Tax Liability \$100 or Less			Tax	Tax Liability \$101 to \$500					Tax Liability More Than \$500				
	% of	Avg. Tax	Avg. Tax	% o	f	Avg. Tax	Avg. Tax		% of	Avg. Tax	Avg. Tax			
	Group	Liability	Bracket	Grou	ıp	Liability	Bracket		Group	Liability	Bracket			
Retirees	50%	\$8	1%	11	%	\$257	5%		39%	\$5,028	6.90%			
With Dependents	24%	\$14	1%	15	%	\$281	5%		62%	\$3,627	6.90%			

11. For each of the groups in the previous table, the following table shows the average tax reduction from claiming the credit, the average tax reduction from taking an itemized deduction of \$1,800, and the net tax reduction from taking the credit rather than the itemized deduction:

_	Tax Liability \$100 or Less				Tax Liab	ility \$101 to	\$500	Tax Liability More Than \$500			
•	From From		_	From	From	From		From	From		
	Credit	Deduction	Net		Credit	Deduction	Net		Credit	Deduction	Net
Retirees	\$8	\$8	\$0		\$257	\$90	\$167		\$500	\$124	\$376
With Dependents	\$14	\$14	\$0		\$281	\$90	\$191		\$500	\$124	\$376

12. The total reduction in tax liability is calculated by multiplying the average net reduction for each group by the number of credits claimed for members of the group, which is found by multiplying the percentage in assumption 10 by the number of potential credits for taxpayers age 55 and older and for dependents in assumption 6. This is shown in the following table:

	Tax Liability \$101 to \$500				Tax Liabi	ility More T	han \$500		Total
	Number of Avg. Tax T		Total Tax	ax Number of		Avg. Tax	Total Tax		Total Tax
	Credits	Reduction	Reduction		Credits	Reduction	Reduction		Reduction
Age 55+	657	\$167	\$109,719		2,330	\$376	\$876,080		\$985,799
Dependents	55	\$191	\$10,505		226	\$376	\$84,976		\$95,481
Total									\$1,081,280

13. The credit would first be claimed on tax returns for tax year 2009, filed in FY 2010.

Date

14. The new tax form and changes to existing tax forms required by this bill will be made as part of the annual update process with no additional costs to the Department of Revenue.

	FY 2010 Difference	FY 2011 Difference	FY 2012 Difference	FY 2013 <u>Difference</u>
Fiscal Impact:				
Revenues: General Fund (01)	(\$1,081,280)	(\$1,081,280)	(\$1,081,280)	(\$1,081,280)
Net Impact to Fund Balance	ce (Revenue minus Fu	nding of Expenditu	ıres):	
General Fund (01)	(\$1,083,280)	(\$1,081,280)	(\$1,081,280)	(\$1,081,280)

Technical Notes:

1.	The term "dependent" does not have a general definition in Title 15. It is defined in the context of income
	tax exemptions in 15-30-112. The bill should refer to "dependents as defined in 15-30-112".
	Alternatively, the bill could reference the definition of dependent in the IRS code at 26USC152.

Budget Director's Initials

HB0299_	_01.doc
1/26/200	9

Sponsor's Initials

Date